



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/702,127	10/30/2000	James P. Alexander	CA9200000 US1	8742
25259	7590	10/14/2005	EXAMINER	
IBM CORPORATION 3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2176	

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

87

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/702,127

Applicant(s)

ALEXANDER ET AL.

Examiner

Chau Nguyen

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment, received on 07/26/2005, has been entered. Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims 1 and 12 contain subject matter "wherein the document messages transfer information about the back office system" and "wherein the method is adapted to process the document messages in an appropriate time sequence even if the timestamp of the previously received document message is more recent than the timestamp of the new inbound message" which were not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chouinard, US Patent No. 6,671,701, Campbell et al (Campbell), US Patent No. 6,856,970, Brandt et al. (Brandt), US Patent No. 6,714,979, and further in view of Chen et al. (Chen), US Patent No. 6,301,681.

6. As to claims 1 and 8-12, Chouinard discloses a method of synchronizing communications messages between a first back office system and a web-based application in a computer network, wherein the first back office system comprises a first back office database and a plurality of nodes for creating documents, the nodes communicating with the first back office database, the documents each being identified with a primary key code and a timestamp designating the time of creation of each document, and the back office system generates document messages, the document

messages each comprising one of the documents and primary key code and timestamp information for the one of the documents, the method comprising the steps of:

receiving in the web-based application a stream of the document messages from the back office system, wherein the document messages transfer information about the back office system (col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 55, col. 5, lines 11-22 and col. 7, lines 47-63: file server 106 acts as file manager (web-based application) receives, stores, and transmits files of data (stream of document messages) between LAN members, and data files created respectively at workstations 103 and 104 (back office system) may be routed to file server 106, the files of data may be submitted and retrieved via an application server then can be accessed by the user via the internet, the files of data can be stored on database server such as an Internet-based);

operating the web-based application database to maintain inbound document message information comprising primary key codes and said timestamps for selected document messages previously received by the web-based application database (Abstract, and col. 2, lines 14-44: a time and date stamp of the destination file is stored in a database);

comparing the primary key code of a new inbound document message received by the web-based application database with primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database for the previously received document messages (Abstract and col. 2, lines 14-44: for source files encountered for a second or subsequent time, the date and time stamps of all of the source files are compared against the database that contains file names, date and time information for destination files);

selecting a pair of corresponding document messages by identifying any one previously received document message having a primary key code corresponding with the primary key code of the new inbound message (col. 7, lines 12-32: detecting any source files that are a newer version than the corresponding destination files);

identifying the more recent document message in the selected pair of corresponding document messages by comparing the timestamp of the previously received document message in the message pair with the timestamp of the new inbound message in the message pair (Abstract, col. 2, lines 14-44 and col. 6, line 57 – col. 7, line 32: detecting any source files that are a newer version than the corresponding destination files); and

updating the web-based application database to record the inbound document message information for the more recent document message, the unique inbound document message; and the timestamps for the more recent and the unique inbound document messages (col. 2, lines 14-44 and col. 7, lines 12-32: replacing the previous time and date stamp in the database with the time and date stamp of the new conversion and saving the updated destination file in the destination directory in place of the previous destination file).

Chouinard discloses the files of data may be submitted and retrieved via an application server then can be accessed by the user via the internet, the files of data can be stored on database server such as an Internet-based (col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 55, col. 5, lines 11-22 and col. 7, lines 47-63). In addition, to support the teaching of

“receiving in the web-based application a stream of the document messages from the back office system” more clear, Campbell provides financial institution clients with a single point of access, and clients can use a web-based workstation to interface with a plurality of back office system within one or more financial institutions (Abstract and col. 2, lines 21-63). Campbell also discloses web server is operable to transmit data to and receive data from at least one user via a network interface (col. 2, line 64 – col. 3, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Campbell and Chouinard to include receiving in the web-based application a stream of the document messages form the back office system in order to eliminate the multitude of user interfaces and passwords and the management thereof.

However, Chouinard and Campbell do not explicitly disclose selecting a unique new inbound document message by identifying any new inbound document message with a primary key code which does not correspond to any of the primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database. Brandt discloses a telecommunications data management/system architecture integrated with a novel Web/Internet based reporting system includes a file is read and compared to the NPA/NXX table and the country code, NPA, NXX, City Name, and State Name are used to see if that exact combination exists, and if it does not, then it will be added to the NPA/NXX table and added to the dimension add file (col. 3, line 60 – col. 4, line 23 and col. 17, line 51 – col. 18, line 6). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Brandt and Chouinard and Campbell to include selecting a unique new inbound document message by identifying any new inbound document message with a primary key code which does not correspond to any of the primary key codes maintained in the web-based application database. Brandt's data management system permits use of existing hardware while allowing further growth to utilize new equipment at less cost and further allows for incremental expansion as applications and database capacities.

However, Chouinard, Campbell and Brandt do not explicitly disclose wherein the method is adapted to process the document messages in an appropriate time sequence even if the timestamp of the previously received document message is more recent than the timestamp of the new inbound message. Chen discloses message priority 309 indicates the order in which messages are processed even the message has a message time stamp (col. 7, lines 20-39). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Chen and Chouinard, Campbell and Brandt to include process the document messages in an appropriate time sequence even if the timestamp of the previously received document message is more recent than the timestamp of the new inbound message in order to provide faster data transfer.

7. As to claims 2, 14 and 16, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose wherein the primary key comprises document type information and document identification information (Chouinard, col. 2, lines 14-44).

8. As to claim 3, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose wherein the inbound document messages are communicated to an inbound queue prior to communication to the web-based application database (Chouinard, col. 4, lines 11-17).

9. As to claims 4 and 13, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose wherein the inbound document messages are communicated to a multi-threaded inbound message processor prior to communication to the web-based application database (Brandt, col. 27, line 66 – col. 28, line 7: client is able to receive information on multiple threads to allow a high priority message to get through even if a large download is in progress. The motivation for doing so is to support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).

10. As to claim 5, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose wherein the inbound document messages are generated by a multi-port message generator (Brandt, col. 11, lines 6-27: The motivation for using multi-port message generator is to provide process data or messages faster, more efficient and for load balancing).

11. As to claim 6, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose logging an error when a new inbound message in a selected of a corresponding document messages does not have the more recent timestamp in the selected pair (Brandt, col. 7, lines 20-24, col. 14, lines 45 – col. 5, line 20: The motivation for doing so is to support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).

12. As to claim 7, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose identifying the new inbound message in a selected pair of corresponding document messages which does not have the more recent timestamp in the selected pair and segregating said new message from further processing according to a predetermined process path (Brandt, col. 15, line 51 – col. 16, line 7: for the purpose of support processing and storage of customer's data in a form suitable for expedient access and presentation as a report for customers over the World Wide Web/Internet).

13. As to claims 15, 17 and 18, Chouinard, Campbell, Brandt and Chen disclose wherein the document type information includes at least one of order confirmation, order delivery, order invoice, product inventory updates, product price updates and customer information updates (Campbell, col. 15, lines 50-62, col. 16, line 39 – col. 18, line 34: A client file-imported transaction would have a unique reference number and transaction type such as transaction confirmations or order or request. Campbell provides financial institution clients with a single point of access, and clients can use a web-based

workstation to interface with a plurality of back office system within one or more financial institutions (Abstract and col. 2, lines 21-63). Campbell also discloses web server is operable to transmit data to and receive data from at least one user via a network interface (col. 2, line 64 – col. 3, line 15). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Campbell and Chouinard to include a unique reference number and transaction type such as transaction confirmations or order or request. Campbell's system teaches receiving in the web-based application a stream of the document messages from the back office system in order to eliminate the multitude of user interfaces and passwords and the management thereof).

Response to Arguments

14. In the remarks, Applicants argued in substance that

A. The files of data in Chouinard do not transfer information about the back office system.

In rely to argument A, Chouinard discloses in col. 3, line 57 – col. 4, line 55, col. 5, lines 11-22 and col. 7, lines 47-63: file server 106 acts as file manager (web-based application) receives, stores, and transmits files of data (stream of document messages) between LAN members, and data files created respectively at workstations 103 and 104 (back office system), thus transmitting data files about the back office system.

B. The prior art fails to teach or suggest that the primary key comprises document type information and document identification information.

In reply to argument B, Chouinard discloses in col. 2, lines 14-30 that a file name (primary key) contains a particular format (document type) and date and time information (document identification information).

Applicant's arguments and amendments filed on 07/26/2005 have been fully considered but they are not deemed fully persuasive. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 12 are substantially directed to the amended subject matter "wherein the method is adapted to process the document messages in an appropriate time sequence even if the timestamp of the previously received document message is more recent than the timestamp of the new inbound message" which have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection as explained here above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4092. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am – 5:30 pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. On July 15, 2005, the Central Facsimile (FAX) Number will change from 703-872-9306 to 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2176

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2176

William L. Bashore
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
10/12/2005